



**TRANSPORTATION-STREET-GARAGE COMMITTEE
AGENDA**

DATE: July 28, 2021

LOCATION: Street Department Conference Room, 199 10th St Clarksville, TN 37040

TIME: 3:00 P.M.

- I. CALL TO ORDER
- II. ATTENDANCE
- III. ADOPTION OF MINUTES:
 - A. March 23 2021 TSG Committee
- IV. DEPARTMENT REPORTS
 - A. Transportation Department- Paul Nelson
 - 1. Ridership Report for March, April, May and June 2021
 - 2. Financial Report for February, March, April and May 2021
 - 3. New Business
 - B. Street Department - David Shepard
 - 1. Paving Update
 - 2. New Sidewalk Update
 - 3. Capital Project Update
 - 4. Transportation 2020
 - C. Garage Committee - Bill Lee
 - 1. Overview of City Garage Monthly report
- V. COMMITTEE ACTION REQUIRED (action required)
 - A. Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan and Safety Targets
 - B. Ordinance 5-2021-22
- VI. CITY COUNCIL ACTION REQUIRED (action required)
 - A. Ordinance 5-2021-22
- VII. PUBLIC COMMENTS
 - A. 3 individuals 5 minutes each unless waived by Chair
- VIII. ADJOURNMENT

Transportation Committee Meeting Minutes
Tuesday, March 23rd, 2021 at 3:30pm
Zoom Meeting

Members Present:

Wanda Smith, Chairperson
Travis Holleman, Councilman
Jason Knight, Councilman
Trisha Butler, Councilman

Members Not Present:

Richard Garrett, Councilman

Transit

Paul Nelson, Director
Lori Wallis, Financial Director
Scott Graves, Business Analyst
Adrienne Beasley, Senior Purchasing Specialist
Veronica Williams, Administrative Support Specialist

Street

David Shepard, Director
Chris Cowan, Engineer Manager
Scott Bibbs, Assistant Director
Bethany Daniels, Administrative Support Technician

Garage

Bill Lee, Interim Director
Loretta Smith, Administrative Support Specialist

CALL TO ORDER:

March 23rd, 2021 at 3:30p.m... Transportation, Street & Garage Committee (TSG) meeting was called to order by Chairperson Wanda Smith. The members in attendance are Jason Knight, Travis Holleman and Tisha Butler. The member not present is Richard Garrett.

Chairperson Wanda Smith explained that due to Covid-19 and the Governor's Executive Order we will be having electronic meetings. They are necessary for the safety of everyone. Chairperson Wanda Smith entertained a motion to approve electronic meetings and it was second by Councilman Jason Knight and all were in favor and the motion was adopted.

Chairperson Wanda Smith entertained a motion for approval of the January 2021 Transportation minutes, all were in favor and the minutes were approved.

TRANSIT

RIDERSHIP REPORT:

Paul Nelson went over the Ridership for February 2021. The DR Paratransit carried 2,017 and Fixed Route carried 28,581 for the month of February. CTS was impacted by the snow. Our Ridership was down.

FINANCIAL REPORT:

Lori Wallis went over the Financial Report for the month of 2021. The revenue was under budget \$88,485.43. The expenses under budget \$519,618.25. Year to date under budget \$431,132.82.

NEW BUSINESS:

Paul Nelson talked about the Tri-Annual review coming up in April 2021. It will be very intense.

Chairperson Wanda Smith was excited about the New Transit Technology.

The new app views real-time information about CTS vehicle location, estimated arrival times, route change and detours. The app is free and will help you get there on time, everytime.

STREET DEPARTMENT

Departmental Report

Mr. Shepard informed the committee that our Spring clean up will end April 19, 2021. The department also has renewed the Mosquito Control contract for McGee Pest Control that will run through September or possible October.

Capital Projects.

Whitefield Road - Advertising for bid march 25, pre-bid meeting April 15, bid opening April 29.

Sidewalks Project - are being designed for the streets on West Concord and Cherry Tree.

Adaptive signal - An environmental document was submitted to TDOT for their review.

Northeast corridor - Continuing with ROW acquisition.

Rossvie - Meetings with contractors, CEI, City representatives and Utility Companies were held in the office and in the field.

Meriwether Trenton - Water line and concrete flume completed.

Intersections Modification - Estimates and plans for Tiny Town Allen Rd, Ted Crozier and Dunlop Lane, Bypass & Glendale, Fire Station.

City Garage

Billy Lee went over the monthly report. He also went over the price of fuel and how it is continuing to go up. Fuel prices were Unleaded @ \$2.27 and Diesel @ \$2.095.

Committee Action:

TSG updated the meeting day and time. A motion was made to change the meeting to 4th Wednesday of the month at 3:00 pm. The motion was 2nd by Travis Holleman and all were in favor of the motion.

Adjournment:

Chairperson Wanda Smith entertained a motion to adjourn. Chairperson Wanda Smith adjourned the meeting.

Public Comment:

Adoption of minutes for

Chairperson

Date

Tennessee Department of Transportation

Clarksville Transit System

Agency Safety Plan
(ASP)

430 Boillin Lane, Clarksville, TN 37040

June 2021

Revision History

Date	Revision	Description of Revision
TBD	0	Initial draft issuance
20200608	1	Post agency assessments changes complete...2020 Performance Targets updates complete
20210708	2	TAC Suggestions added and 2021 Performance Targets updates complete

Agency Safety Plan Approvals¹

Prepared by: _____

NAME

TDOT Multimodal Safety Manager

_____ Date

Approved by: _____

NAME

TDOT Multimodal Director

_____ Date

Approved by: _____

NAME

(Board of Directors/City Council/City Commissioner)

_____ Date

¹ This signature page provides State (TDOT) approval of the PTASP and all addenda. Refer the Participating Agency Addenda for each agency's approval of the PTASP and their agency-specific addenda.

Table of Contents

Agency Safety Plan Approvals	ii
1. The Clarksville Transit System.....	1
1.1. Agency Profile	1
1.2. Modes of Transportation.....	1
1.3. CTS funding types.....	1
2. Safety Management System Overview.....	1
2.1. SMS Introduction.....	1
2.2. Goal, Objectives, and Purpose	2
2.3. Applicability and Scope	2
2.4. ASP Review and Updates	3
3. Safety Management Policy	4
3.1. TDOT ASP Safety Management Policy Statement	4
3.2. Safety Accountabilities and Responsibilities	4
3.3. Integration with Public Safety and Emergency Management	5
3.4. Safety Performance Targets.....	5
3.5. SMS Documentation and Records.....	2
3.6. Employee Safety Reporting	2
4. Safety Risk Management	2
4.1. Introduction	2
4.2. Hazard Identification and Analysis.....	2
4.3. Safety Risk Evaluation.....	5
4.4. Safety Risk Mitigation	8
5. Safety Assurance	9
5.1. Overview	9
5.2. Safety Performance Monitoring and Measurement.....	9
5.3. Management of Change	9
5.4. Continuous Improvement	9
6. Safety Promotion.....	10
6.1. Introduction	10
6.2. Safety Communication and Culture	10
6.3. Competencies and Training.....	10
6.1. Contractor Safety (as applicable).....	10
Appendix A – Definitions.....	12
Appendix B – Acronyms and Abbreviations.....	16
Appendix C – Hazard Tracking Worksheet	17
Participating Agency Addenda	18
Addendum 1 – Clarksville Transit System.....	19

The mission of the Tennessee Department of Transportation (TDOT) is to provide a safe and reliable transportation system for people, goods and services that supports economic prosperity in Tennessee. TDOT's Office of Public Transportation carries out this mission by providing both financial and technical assistance to transit agencies and projects in the state. This joint Agency Safety Plan (ASP) is the result of a collaborative effort between TDOT and the participating Tennessee public transportation agencies that opted in for coverage under the joint plan instead of writing their own ASP.

The 11 small public transportation operators for which this ASP has been prepared are:

- Bristol Tennessee Transit
- Clarksville Transit System
- SETHRA - Cleveland Urban Area Transit System
- East Tennessee Human Resource Agency
- First Tennessee Human Resource Agency
- Jackson Transit Agency
- Johnson City Transit
- Kingsport Area Transit Service
- Knox County Community Action Committee Transit
- Knoxville Area Transit
- City of Murfreesboro Transportation Department

TDOT certifies that this ASP meets the requirements of 49 CFR Part 673 and that all agencies covered under this joint ASP will have completed any remaining documentation required in Addendums 1-11 not later than the July 20, 2020, the federal deadline for Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan certification. TDOT will continue to support the participating agencies as they work beyond the ASP deadline to continue maturing SMS throughout their organizations.

Suzanne Carlson

TDOT Multimodal Director

June 8, 2020

1. The Clarksville Transit System

1.1. Agency Profile

The Clarksville Transit System (CTS) services the Clarksville, Tennessee area Monday through Saturday. The main administration & maintenance facility is located at 430 Boillin Lane, Clarksville, TN 37040. The CTS transit center used by the public is located at 200 Legion Street, Clarksville, TN, 37040. The CTS' service area is approximately 109 square miles, with a population of more than 150,000. Our service area is comprised of three major business districts and trip generators. Downtown Clarksville is home to many human service organizations and almost all government buildings and services. Approximately seven miles to the north is the Ft. Campbell military installation and the north Clarksville business district. Between six and eight miles to the east is the Saint Bethlehem business district (St. B). St. B, as it is known locally, is home of Clarksville's only mall and the majority of retail and dining establishments. The Clarksville Transit System does not provide service on the behalf of any other transit agency.

1.2. Modes of Transportation

CTS provides fixed route bus service, as well as paratransit service. The fixed route service utilizes thirty-foot buses that have the capability to seat twenty-plus passengers. The CTS Paratransit service, otherwise known as "The Lift," provides transit service to the disabled and elderly that are unable to utilize the CTS fixed route system. This service uses high roof ADA accessible vans, and ADA accessible Cutaway vehicles. Both the fixed route, and paratransit services are directly operated by the Clarksville Transit System.

1.3. CTS funding types

CTS primarily receives 5307 Urbanized Area formula funding, but also uses 5339 Bus and Bus Facility funding, and 5303 Metropolitan & Statewide Planning funding. CTS has applied, and received, funding from competitive 5310 Enhanced Mobility funding opportunities to replace rolling stock for "The Lift" service it offers.

1.4. CTS Review

The Clarksville Transit System will hold meetings to discuss its agency safety plan at least quarterly each year. CTS will also hold an additional meeting at the beginning of each year to review the agency's performance targets for the previous year, how to set the goals for the upcoming year, and any additional changes that can be made to create a better plan of safety for CTS. Once all updates are decided on, the updated plan will be provided to the Accountable Executive for CTS to be signed off on.

2. Safety Management System Overview

2.1. SMS Introduction

Safety Management Systems (SMS) is a formal, top-down, organization-wide approach to managing safety risk and assuring the effectiveness of safety risk mitigation. SMS includes systematic and proactive procedures, practices, and policies for managing risks and hazards. By bringing employees together from all levels of the agency to manage risk, SMS helps agencies detect and address safety problems earlier, share and analyze data more effectively, and measure safety performance more precisely.

Four main components make up SMS:

- **Safety Management Policy** (Section 3) is a transit agency's documented commitment to safety. The policy defines the transit agency's safety objectives and the safety accountabilities and responsibilities of its employees.

- **Safety Risk Management** (Section 4) is the process for identifying hazards and analyzing, assessing, and mitigating safety risk.
- **Safety Assurance** (Section 5) is the processes that ensures the implementation and effectiveness of safety risk mitigation and ensures that the agency meets or exceeds its safety objectives through the collection, analysis, and assessment of safety data.
- **Safety Promotion** (Section 6) is a combination of safety training and communication applied to the agency's transportation system to support SMS.

Refer to Appendix A for Definitions of terms used in this plan and refer to Appendix B for Acronyms and Abbreviations used in this plan. Refer to the Participating Agency Addenda for agency-specific information to supplement the joint Agency Safety Plan (ASP).

2.2. Goal, Objectives, and Purpose

2.2.1. Goal

The overarching goal of this ASP is to enhance all aspects of safety within the participating public transportation agencies by guiding effective and proactive management of safety risks in their systems and prioritizing capital investments using performance-based planning.

2.2.2. Objective

The objective of this ASP is to establish processes and procedures to support the implementation of SMS that meets Federal Transit Administration (FTA)-mandated requirements under the PTASP Final Rule (49 CFR Part 673).

2.2.3. Purpose

The Tennessee Department of Transportation (TDOT) ASP formalizes the SMS principles and strategies for demonstrating Safety Management Policy, Safety Risk Management, Safety Assurance and Safety Promotion through all operation and maintenance activities. The ASP defines the process for identifying, evaluating, and resolving hazards associated with operations of a bus system involved in revenue service. This process helps achieve the highest practical level of operational safety for the riding public, employees, and anyone encountering the System.

2.3. Applicability and Scope

Recipients and sub-recipients of FTA Urbanized Area Formula Grant Program funds under 49 U.S.C. § 5307 are required to comply with the PTASP Final Rule². TDOT sponsored this ASP for sub-recipient agencies to opt in for coverage under it or to opt out and develop their own ASP. The following Tennessee public transportation agencies (hereinafter collectively referred to "Participating Agencies") opted to meet their PTASP requirements under 49 CFR Part 673 through participation in the TDOT ASP:

- Bristol Tennessee Transit
- Clarksville Transit System
- Cleveland Urban Area Transit System
- East Tennessee Human Resource Agency
- First Tennessee Human Resource Agency
- Jackson Transit Agency
- Johnson City Transit

² FTA deferred the applicability of the PTASP requirements for small operators who receive funds through FTA's Formula Grants for the Enhanced Mobility of Seniors and Individuals with Disabilities Program under 49 U.S.C. § 5310 and for Formula Grants for Rural Areas Program under 49 U.S.C. § 5311.

- Kingsport Area Transit Service
- Knox County Community Action Committee Transit
- Knoxville Area Transit
- City of Murfreesboro Transportation Department

This ASP meets all the requirements under 49 CFR part 673 and encompasses the equipment, facilities, plans, procedures, operation and maintenance as they relate to a bus system. The ASP is scaled to the size, scope, and complexity of the Participating Agencies.

2.4. ASP Review and Updates

The TDOT ASP will be reviewed at least annually and updated as necessary to ensure that it remains current and consistent with FTA guidance and industry best practice. TDOT will initiate and coordinate the ASP annual review, in coordination with the participating agencies. Additionally, when a significant change occurs within TDOT or the participating agencies, TDOT will coordinate with the Participating Agencies to consider if any updates to the ASP are needed. The ASP will also be updated as necessary following any ASP audit to ensure the SMS remains current and applicable. If revised, the ASP will be re-issued to all ASP recipients. TDOT is responsible for updating the ASP in partnership with the applicable transportation agencies.

3. Safety Management Policy

3.1. TDOT ASP Safety Management Policy Statement

The participating Tennessee public transportation agencies covered by this Agency Safety Plan (ASP) recognize management of safety as a core agency function and are dedicated to planning, designing, constructing, operating and maintaining transportation systems that optimize the safety of passengers, employees, consultants, contractors, emergency responders, and the public.

Accountability for safety begins with the Accountable Executive and permeates all levels of employees. The following safety objectives reflect the agencies' overarching safety goals and demonstrate commitment to establishing, implementing, and continually improving Safety Management Systems (SMS):

- Integrate safety management into the primary responsibilities of all employees;
- Support safety management through the allocation of resources and promotion of a safety culture that facilitates safe practices and effective employee safety reporting and communication;
- Define roles and responsibilities for all employees that contribute to safety performance and SMS;
- Implement risk-based hazard management consistent with risk acceptance levels;
- Operate an employee safety reporting program that ensures no action will be taken against any employee who discloses a safety concern unless disclosure indicates beyond reasonable doubt an illegal act, gross negligence, or a deliberate disregard of regulations or procedures;
- Comply with or exceed legislative and regulatory requirements and industry standards;
- Ensure systems and services that support operations meet or exceed agency safety standards;
- Provide safety information and training to ensure all employees are competent in safety management for tasks allocated to them;
- Establish and measure safety performance against data-driven safety performance targets; and
- Continually improve safety performance and implementation of SMS.

By applying SMS as outlined above and detailed in this ASP, the participating Tennessee public transportation agencies are committed to making safety the top priority of all agency operations.

3.2. Safety Accountabilities and Responsibilities

Under SMS, identified positions have specific responsibilities under SMS. Refer to the Participating Agency Addenda for a matrix under each Participating Agency that names the specific agency position(s) and committee(s) responsible for each role described below.

3.2.1. Accountable Executive

The Accountable Executive is a single, identifiable person who has ultimate responsibility and accountability for implementing and maintaining the agency's SMS and ASP. This is the same person responsible for carrying out the agency's Transit Asset Management (TAM) Plan. The Accountable Executive has control or direction over the human and capital resources needed to develop and maintain both the agency's ASP and TAM Plan. The Accountable Executive is also responsible for ensuring action is taken, as necessary, to address substandard performance in the agency's SMS. This individual is the primary decision-maker who is ultimately responsible for both safety and TAM.

3.2.2. Chief Safety Officer (or SMS Executive)

The Chief Safety Officer, or SMS Executive, can also be Accountable Executive. This person will have adequate training to take responsibility for safety and act as the SMS Executive. The Chief Safety Officer has the authority and responsibility for day-to-day implementation and operation of the agency's SMS and must have a direct line of reporting to their Accountable Executive. Participating Agencies may designate a Chief Safety Officer who serves in other operational or maintenance capacities³.

3.2.3. All Employees

In addition to the Accountable Executive and/or Chief Safety Officer, each transit agency has identified those with authority and responsibility for day-to-day implementation and operation of the agency's SMS.

All agency employees are responsible for safety. Each employee is required to work safely, correct unsafe behavior, identify and report safety hazards, and abstain from performing any task that the person feels could injure themselves or others.

3.2.4. Safety Committee(s)

Some agencies have safety committees and others incorporate safety into other activities to ensure that the system is operated and maintained in a safe manner. The Safety Committee can support SMS by informing and assuring agency management of safety issues affecting the agency and addressing safety issues assigned to it by the agency's executive management.

3.3. Integration with Public Safety and Emergency Management

There are several internal and external programs that may affect safety management. Refer to Participating Agency Addenda for agency-specific integration of programs and a list of the plans and procedures that support the transit agency's public safety and emergency management activities.

3.4. Safety Performance Targets

The transit agencies have established targets that represent a quantifiable, measurable safety performance or condition. The transit agencies will regularly monitor the performance of their system to ensure they are meeting their targets and improving safety outcomes. At least annually, when reviewing and updating their ASP, the transit agencies will evaluate their safety performance to determine whether they should change their safety performance targets. Agency safety performance targets are categorized below by safety performance measures:

- **Performance Measure: Fatalities** – Total number of reportable⁴ fatalities and rate per total unlinked passenger trips, by mode.
- **Performance Measure: Injuries** – Total number of reportable injuries and rate per total unlinked passenger trips, by mode.
- **Performance Measure: Safety Events** – Total number of reportable events and rate per total vehicle miles, by mode.
- **Performance Measure: System Reliability** – Mean distance between failures, by mode.

³ A Chief Safety Officer may only serve in other operational or maintenance capacities if they are employed by a transit agency that is a small public transportation provider as defined CFR Part 673, or a public transportation provider that does not operate a rail fixed guideway public transportation system.

⁴ The thresholds for "reportable" fatalities, injuries, and events are defined in the National Transit Database Safety and Security Reporting Manual, available at <https://www.transit.dot.gov/ntd/2019-ntd-safety-and-security-policy-manual>.

Refer to Participating Agency Addenda for safety performance targets specific to each Participating Agency, as applicable.

TDOT will coordinate with the Participating Agencies to make the safety performance targets available to the state's Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs), as applicable, to aid in the planning process. To the extent possible, TDOT will facilitate coordination with the MPOs for setting safety performance targets. MPOs that represent the participating agencies include:

Bristol MPO

Johnson City MPO

Clarksville MPO

Kingsport MTPO

Cleveland MPO

Knoxville TPO

Jackson MPO

Nashville MPO

3.5.SMS Documentation and Records

At all times, the transit agency will maintain documents that set forth in this ASP, including those related to the implementation of its SMS and result from SMS processes and activities. The transit agency will maintain documents that are included in whole, or by reference, that describe the programs, policies, and procedures that the agency uses to carry out its ASP. These documents will be made available upon request by the FTA or other federal entity. The transit agency will maintain these documents for a minimum of three years after they are created.

3.6.Employee Safety Reporting

Each transit agency will establish and implement an employee safety reporting program that allows employees and contractors to report safety conditions or hazards to senior management, which describes the protections for employees who report safety conditions or hazards, and which describes employee behaviors that may result in disciplinary action.


Refer to the Participating Agency Addenda for agency-specific employee safety reporting program descriptions.

4. Safety Risk Management

4.1. Introduction

This chapter provides detail on Safety Risk Management (SRM). SRM includes the activities that a public transportation agency undertakes to control the probability or severity of the potential consequence of hazards. Major SRM sub-components include Hazard Identification and Analysis and Safety Risk Evaluation and Mitigation. Figure 1 below summarizes the six basic steps of SRM.

Figure 1. Safety Risk Management Process



4.2. Hazard Identification and Analysis

The first step in a hazard analysis is defining the systems and sub-systems subject to hazards, followed by identifying specific physical and procedural hazards related to the identified systems and subsystems.

4.2.1. System Description

The TDOT ASP covers the public transportation systems listed in Table 1 and described further below. These Participating Agencies are a mix of fixed route and demand systems.

Table 1. Agency Descriptions

Agency	Number of Fixed Route Bus Vehicles	Number of Paratransit Vehicles	Number of routes	Annual Vehicle Revenue Miles	Annual Unlinked Trips
Bristol Tennessee Transit	6	4	6	183,071 (2017)	62,542 (2017)
Clarksville Transit System	16	10	11	1,529,584 (2018)	696,387 (2018)
SETHRA - Cleveland Urban Area Transit System	7	11	5	361,330 (2017)	149,446 (2017)
East Tennessee Human Resource Agency	0	100 vans	N/A	4,475,998 (2017)	269,540 (2017)
First Tennessee Human Resource Agency	0	100+ vans	N/A	2,557,165 (2016)	163,433 (2016)
Jackson Transit Authority	13	7	11	774,480 (2017)	504,281 (2017)
Johnson City Transit	15	12	11	684,857 (2017)	668,161 (2017)
Kingsport Area Transit Service	7	6	6	323,618 (2017)	173,992 (2017)
Knox County Community Action Committee Transit		37	N/A	1,420,705 (2017)	163,593 (2017)
Knoxville Area Transit	72	25	27	3,236,168 (2019)	2,748,602 (2019)
City of Murfreesboro Transportation Department	7		7	249,111 (2017)	250,808 (2017)

Bristol Tennessee Transit

The Bristol Tennessee Transit operates six buses over six fixed routes of service from 6:15am until 6pm Monday through Friday (except city observed holidays). The Bristol Tennessee Transit also operates four vans that provide Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) service and Job Access service.

Clarksville Transit System

The Clarksville Transit System operates eighteen buses over eleven fixed routes of service from Monday - Friday 4:40am-9pm and Saturday 6:40am to 9pm (except certain city observed holidays). The Clarksville Transit System also operates eleven vans that provide service. The mission of the Clarksville Transit System is to plan, implement, maintain and manage a public transportation system that allows for maximum mobility for the community with an emphasis on safety, quality and efficiency.

Cleveland Urban Area Transit System

Cleveland Urban Area Transit System (CUATS) is operated by the Southeast Tennessee Human Resource Agency (SETHRA). CUATS operates seven buses over five fixed routes with service from 6am - 7pm Monday through Friday (except city observed holidays). The CUATS also operates eleven vans that provide ADA service and Job Access service.

East Tennessee Human Resource Agency

East Tennessee Human Resource Agency (ETHRA) operates over 100 vans that provide ADA and Job Access services from 7am – 5:30pm Monday through Friday (except city observed holidays).

ETHRA Public Transit provides door to door transportation services with flexible schedules to meet the needs of its passengers. ETHRA's Public Transit's goal is to provide affordable, safe, dependable public transportation.

First Tennessee Human Resource Agency

First Tennessee Human Resource Agency (FTHRA) operates over six fixed routes of service from 6:15am until 6pm Monday through Friday (except city observed holidays). The Bristol Tennessee Transit also operates four vans at provide ADA service and Job Access service.

Jackson Transit Authority

The Jackson Transit Authority (JTA) operates 13 buses over 11 fixed routes of service from 6am until 10:30pm Monday through Saturday (except city observed holidays). Jackson Transit Authority also operates seven vans that provide ADA service and Job Access service.

Johnson City Transit

Johnson City Transit (JCT) operates 15 buses over 11 fixed routes of service from 6:15am until 6:15 pm Monday through Friday and 8:15am through 5:15pm Saturday (except city observed holidays). Jackson Transit Authority also operates seven vans that provide ADA service and Job Access service.

Kingsport Area Transit Services

The Kingsport Area Transit Services (KATS) operates seven buses over six fixed routes of service from 7:30am until 5:30pm Monday through Friday (except city observed holidays). Kingsport Area Transit Services also operates six vans that provide ADA service and Job Access service. The KATS bus and van service is a valuable asset to the community and the city is encouraged by its progress and growth. These services are provided to residents of the city of Kingsport with a population of over 53,000.

Knox County Community Action Committee Transit

Knox County CAC operates over 37 vans that provide ADA and Job Access services from 5am through 9pm Monday through Saturday (except city observed holidays). Limited employment transportation is provided twenty-four (24) hours a day, seven (7) days a week. Knox County CAC Transit provides accessible, demand response public transportation services to the residents of Knox County who live within Knox County outside of the City of Knoxville, to those individuals who live within the City of Knoxville outside the KAT service area, and to those city residents who are not served by the KAT fixed route system, including those who live too far from a bus stop or who's destination is not within the KAT service area.

Knoxville Area Transit

The Knoxville Area Transit (KAT) operates 72 buses over 27 fixed routes of service from 5:30am until 12:15am Monday – Friday, 7am – 12:15am on Saturdays, and 8:15am to 9:15pm on Sundays (except city observed holidays). Knoxville Area Transit (KAT) also operates 25 vans that provide paratransit service.

City of Murfreesboro Transportation Department

The City of Murfreesboro Transportation Department is responsible for the administration and operation of public transportation service (Rover) within the City of Murfreesboro. The Rover

system operates seven buses over seven fixed routes of service from 6am through 6pm Monday through Friday that serve designated bus stops. Transit service operations also include demand-response paratransit service as required by ADA and the FTA.

4.2.2. Identifying Hazards

A safety hazard is:

- Any real or potential condition that can cause personal injury or death or damage to or loss of equipment or property,
- A condition that may be a prerequisite to an accident, or
- Is a situation that has the potential to do harm.

Hazards are identified through a variety of sources, including those listed below. In addition, SMS enables every employee to identify hazards through Safety Promotion efforts and non-punitive hazard reporting, described further in Section 6.

- FTA's *Hazard Analysis Guideline for Transit Projects* (January 2000)
- Accident/incident data and experience
- Accident/incident data from other bus systems with similar characteristics
- Hazard scenarios
- Applicable industry standards
- Field assessments and surveys
- Project-specific design data and drawings, reviews, testing, and start-up activities

The following tools and techniques may be used for hazard identification and analysis:

- Preliminary Hazard Analysis (PHA)
- Operational Hazard Assessment (OHA)
- Accident/Incident Analysis
- Job Hazard Analysis (JHA)

4.3. Safety Risk Evaluation

After identifying system-specific hazards, SRM assesses safety risk by first identifying the potential to do harm in the system and then analyzing options to mitigate the hazard to an acceptable level. The process seeks to identify and define as many hazardous conditions as possible and initiate the safety risk mitigation process before those conditions or associated activities cause an accident.

4.3.1. Analyzing Risk

The methodology for analyzing safety risk has two elements: evaluating hazard severity and evaluating hazard probability. The US Department of Defense's *Standard Practice for System Safety, MIL-STD-882E*, establishes system safety criteria guidelines for determining hazard severity and probability. This ASP adapts the MIL-STD-882E Risk Assessment and Hazard Risk Index matrixes to the transit environment for use in the Participating Agencies' safety risk assessment process.

4.3.1.1. Determining Severity

Hazards are rated in terms of their effect on transit customers, employees, the public, and the operating system. Hazard severity is a subjective measure of the worst credible case consequence that results from design inadequacies, component failure or malfunction, human error, environmental conditions, or operating or maintenance practice, and procedure deficiencies. The ratings are illustrated in Figure 2. The categorization of hazards is consistent

with risk-based criteria for severity and reflects the principle that not all hazards pose an equal amount of risk.

Figure 2. Hazard Severity Definition

SEVERITY	CHARACTERISTICS			
	People	Equipment/Services	Financial	Reputational
Catastrophic 1	Several deaths and/or numerous severe injuries (per event)	Total loss of equipment or system interruption, requiring months to repair	Estimated loss from the incident in excess of \$500,000	Ongoing media coverage, irreparable reputational damage, government intervention (weeks – months)
Critical 2	Low number of deaths and/or serious injury* (per event)	Significant loss of equipment or system interruption, requiring weeks to repair	Estimated loss from the incident in excess of \$100,000-\$499,999	Prolonged media campaign, serious reputational damage, sustained government involvement (days - weeks)
Moderate 3	Minor injury and possible serious injury (per event)	Some loss of equipment or system interruption, requiring seven or less days to repair	Estimated loss from the incident in excess of \$10,000-\$99,999	Adverse media coverage, reputational damage, government involvement
Minor 4	Possible minor injury (per event)	Some loss of equipment, no system interruption, less than 24 hours to repair	Estimated loss from the incident in excess of \$1,000-\$9,999	Local media coverage and some reputational damage
Insignificant 5	No injury	Minor damage to equipment no system interruption, no immediate repair necessary	Estimated loss from the incident is likely less than \$1,000	No adverse media coverage or reputational damage

*Per 49 CFR 673, serious injury: 1) Requires hospitalization for more than 48 hours, commencing within 7 days from the date of the injury was received; 2) Results in a fracture of any bone (except simple fractures of fingers, toes, or noses); 3) Causes severe hemorrhages, nerve, muscle, or tendon damage; 4) Involves any internal organ; or 5) Involves second or third-degree burns, or any burns affecting more than 5 percent of the body surface.

4.3.1.2. Determining Probability

The probability that a hazard will occur during the planned life expectancy of the system element, sub-system or component is described qualitatively, in potential occurrences per unit of time, events, population, items, or activity. A qualitative hazard probability is derived from research, analysis, evaluation of safety data from the operating experience of the agency or historical safety data from similar bus systems, and from expert opinion. Figure 3 summarized the hazard probability categories.

Figure 3. Hazard Probability Categories

PROBABILITY LEVEL	SPECIFIC INDIVIDUAL ITEM	FLEET OR INVENTORY	FREQUENCY
Frequent A	Likely to occur frequently in the life of a system	Continuously experienced	> 1 event / month
Probable B	Will occur often in the life of a system	Will occur frequently in the system	> 1 event / year
Occasional C	Likely to occur sometime in the life of an item	Will occur several times	> 1 event / 10 years
Remote D	Unlikely, but possible to occur in the life of an item	Unlikely, but can be expected to occur	> 1 event / 20 years
Improbable E	So unlikely, it can be assumed occurrence may not be expected	Unlikely to occur, but possible	> 1 event / 30 years

4.3.2. Assessing Risk

Together, hazard severity and probability measure a hazard's magnitude and priority for applying the control measures. Hazards are then examined, qualified, addressed, and resolved based on the severity of a potential outcome and the likelihood that such an outcome will occur. The value derived by considering a hazard's severity and probability is the Hazard Risk Index. The resulting risk index is a measure of the acceptability or undesirability of the hazard and is applied to the Risk Assessment Index.

Assignment of a Hazard Risk Index enables agency management to properly understand the amount of risk involved by accepting the hazard relative to what it would cost (schedule, dollars, operations, etc.) to reduce the hazard to an acceptable level.


Figure 4 identifies the Hazard Risk Index based upon hazard severity and probability and outlines the criteria for further action and decision authority based upon each index category. The Hazard Risk Index is used to assist the decision-making process in determining whether a safety risk should be eliminated, controlled, or accepted. This helps prioritize hazardous conditions and focus available resources on the most serious hazards requiring resolution while effectively managing available resources.

For example, if the potential for an accident/incident reveals a Category 1 (catastrophic) occurrence with a Level A (frequent) probability, the assessed level of risk is Unacceptable and the system safety effort is directed toward eliminating the hazard or at the very least to implementing redundant hazard control measures. A Category 1 (catastrophic) or Category 2 (critical) safety risk may be tolerable if it can be demonstrated that its occurrence is highly improbable. This approach provides a basis for logical management decision-making that considers the hazard's severity and probability.

Figure 4. Hazard Risk Index

HAZARD RISK INDICES					
Frequency Or Probability	Severity Category				
	1 Catastrophic	2 Critical	3 Moderate	4 Minor	5 Insignificant
(A) Frequent	1A	2A	3A	4A	5A
(B) Probable	1B	2B	3B	4B	5B
(C) Occasional	1C	2C	3C	4C	5C
(D) Remote	1D	2D	3D	4D	5D
(E) Improbable	1E	2E	3E	4E	5E

LEGEND

	Unacceptable - Cannot be accepted as is, <u>must</u> be mitigated
	Undesirable - Acceptable with Executive-level signoff
	Acceptable w/ Review - Acceptable Operational-level signoff
	Acceptable - Can be accepted as is.

4.4. Safety Risk Mitigation**4.4.1. Treating Risk**

As safety risks are identified, whether through a formal risk assessment or informally such as through employee reporting mechanisms, hazards can be resolved by deciding to either assume the risk associated with the hazard or to eliminate or control the risk. Mitigation to bring a hazard to an acceptable level of risk is applied in the following order of precedence, listed from most effective at the top of the list to least effective mitigations at the bottom:

- Avoidance
- Elimination
- Substitution
- Engineering Controls
- Warnings
- Administrative Controls such as Operations and Maintenance Procedures
- Personal Protective Equipment and Guards

4.4.2. Hazard Tracking

Once mitigations are agreed upon for identified hazards, mitigations are tracked through the agency's safety certification process to ensure all concerns raised have been addressed and mitigated properly. This hazard tracking and certification process may be done through reports, logs, worksheets and/or similar methods that allow for updating if changes occur that impact the findings of the safety analysis. The Participating Agencies use a hazard tracking worksheet in Microsoft Excel to capture and track hazards from analysis through implementation. Refer to Appendix C for a blank copy of the hazard tracking worksheet.

5. Safety Assurance

5.1. Overview

Safety assurance includes safety reviews, evaluations, audits, and inspections, as well as data tracking and analysis and investigations. Safety Assurance encompasses the processes within the transit agency's SMS that ensures the implementation and effectiveness of SRM and ensures that the agency meets or exceeds its safety objectives through the collection, analysis, and assessment of information. Each transit agency will conduct an annual review of the effectiveness of its safety risk mitigations through its safety assurance efforts.

5.2. Safety Performance Monitoring and Measurement

SMS generates data and information that senior management need to evaluate whether implemented safety risk mitigations are appropriate and effective, and how well an agency's safety performance fits with their established safety objectives and safety performance targets. Safety performance monitoring will occur through routine monitoring of operations and maintenance activities. It also includes risk monitoring to track implementation and success of mitigations and controls put in place to manage risk.

Each Participating Agency will establish audit and evaluate safety in compliance with this ASP and SMS. The programs will:

- Monitor compliance and sufficiency of procedures for operations and maintenance
- Monitor operations to identify ineffective, inappropriate, or unimplemented safety risk mitigations
- Conduct investigations of safety events to identify causal factors
- Monitor information from safety reporting systems
- Document audit outcomes
- Collect and track safety data

5.3. Management of Change

Each Agency under this ASP will re-evaluate safety when significant change occurs within the agency. These changes may include:

- New contractor providing service
- New buses brought into fleet
- New or changed routes
- Other changes that might have a safety impact.

If the change has a safety impact, risk associated with the change will be evaluated, treated and documented. If the change does not have a safety impact, no further steps will be taken.

5.4. Continuous Improvement

Each agency will evaluate their SMS program annually to identify areas of improvement and any changes that require input for the agency to grow in safety management.

6. Safety Promotion

6.1. Introduction

Agencies under this plan will utilize Safety Promotion to communicate and disseminate safety information to strengthen the safety culture. Safety Promotion includes safety lessons learned, reporting systems, recommendations based on safety metrics, and safety training. The goal is to foster a positive safety culture where employees receive ongoing training and updates of safety progress; feel comfortable reporting safety issues or concerns; and understand why safety is important and how they impact safety.

6.2. Safety Communication and Culture

6.2.1. Safety Communication

Transit agencies will communicate safety and safety performance information throughout the agency's organization that, at a minimum, conveys the TDOT safety management policy statement in Section 2.1 above; each covered agency's employee safety reporting program procedures and policies; and, information on hazards and safety risks relevant to employees' roles and responsibilities. The communication will be used to inform employees of safety actions taken in response to reports submitted through an employee safety reporting program.

The Clarksville Transit System (CTS) communicates the safety standards and its safety policy through methods that involve both people and technology. CTS provides annual training each year to all employees, and during the training, safety goals and standards are described. Videos of incidents are shown, and discussion on what could have been done differently are had in each class. CTS also sets up sign postings, and announcements on the TV in the employee day room that describe what is happening in the safety policy at any given moment. There are also safety committee and sub-committee meetings held every few months to discuss safety incidents that have happened, and what could be done to create a safer work environment. Emails to employees are also sent out by senior management that give caution on current affairs, as well as methods to stay safe.

6.2.2. Dissemination of Lessons Learned

Transit agencies will review lessons learned from incidents, accidents and reported hazards and provide feedback regarding findings. This communication is an important step in letting employees know that they are important to the agency.

6.3. Competencies and Training

Each transit agency will establish and implement a safety training program for all employees and contractors directly responsible for safety in the agency's public transportation system. The training program must include refresher training, as necessary. Safety training will also be part of new-hire training and specific job safety training. Training and competencies of all staff will be documented and tracked.

Refer to the Participating Agency Addenda for agency-specific safety training programs.

6.4. Contractor Safety (as applicable)

When contracting for services that have a safety component and/or may impact safety or assessed risk, procurement language and specification requirements will be included, as applicable. Contractors will demonstrate job-appropriate competencies and training that meet or exceed the requirements of the agency.

Appendix A – Definitions

Accident	An Event that involves any of the following: A loss of life; a report of a serious injury to a person; a collision of public transportation vehicles; a runaway train; an evacuation for life safety reasons; or any derailment of a rail transit vehicle, at any location, at any time, whatever the cause.
Accountable Executive	A single, identifiable person who has ultimate responsibility for carrying out the Agency Safety Plan of a public transportation agency; responsibility for carrying out the agency's Transit Asset Management Plan; and control or direction over the human and capital resources needed to develop and maintain both the agency's Agency Safety Plan, in accordance with 49 U.S.C. 5329(d), and the agency's Transit Asset Management Plan in accordance with 49 U.S.C. 5326.
Agency Safety Plan (ASP)	The documented comprehensive agency safety plan for a transit agency that is required by 49 U.S.C. 5329 and 49 CFR 673.
Assessment	An estimation of the size/scope of risk or quality of system or procedure.
Cause	Events that, result in a hazard or failure. Causes can occur by themselves or in combinations.
Change	To modify, alter, or make different.
Chief Safety Officer (CSO)	An adequately trained individual who has responsibility for safety and reports directly to a transit agency's chief executive officer, general manager, president, or equivalent officer. A Chief Safety Officer may not serve in other operational or maintenance capacities, unless the Chief Safety Officer is employed by a transit agency that is a small public transportation provider as defined in this part, or a public transportation provider that does not operate a rail fixed guideway public transportation system.
Configuration Management	A management process for establishing and maintaining consistency of a product's performance, functional and physical attributes with its requirements, design, and operational information throughout its life.
Control	Anything that mitigates the risk of a hazard's effects. A control is the same as a safety requirement. All controls are written in requirement language.
Effect	The effect is a description of the potential outcome or harm of the hazard if it occurs in the defined system state.
Equipment	A complete assembly, operating either independently or within a sub-system or system, that performs a specific function.
Equivalent Authority	An entity that carries out duties similar to that of a Board of Directors, for a recipient or subrecipient of FTA funds under 49 U.S.C. Chapter 53, including sufficient authority to review and approve a recipient or subrecipient's Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan.
Event	Any Accident, Incident, or Occurrence.
Hazard	Any real or potential condition that can cause injury, illness, or death to people; damage to or loss of a system, equipment, or property; or damage to the environment. A hazard is a condition that is a prerequisite to an accident or incident.

Hazard Tracking	A closed-loop means of ensuring that the requirements and mitigations associated with each hazard that has associated medium or high risk are implemented. Hazard tracking is the process of defining safety requirements, verifying implementation, and re-assessing the risk to make sure the hazard meets its risk level requirement before being accepted.
Human Factors	A multidisciplinary effort to generate and compile information about human capabilities and limitations and apply that information to equipment, systems, facilities, procedures, jobs, operations, environments, training, staffing, and personnel management for safe, comfortable, efficient and effective human performance.
Incident	An event that involves any of the following: A personal injury that is not a serious injury; one or more injuries requiring medical transport; or damage to facilities, equipment, rolling stock, or infrastructure that disrupts the operations of a transit agency.
Investigation	The process of determining the causal and contributing factors of an accident, incident, or hazard, for the purpose of preventing recurrence and mitigating risk.
Maintenance	Any repair, adaptation, upgrade, or modification of equipment or facilities. This includes preventive maintenance.
Mitigation	Actions taken to reduce the risk of a hazard's effects.
National Public Transportation Safety Plan	The plan to improve the safety of all public transportation systems that receive Federal financial assistance under 49 U.S.C. Chapter 53.
Occurrence	An Event without any personal injury in which any damage to facilities, equipment, rolling stock, or infrastructure does not disrupt the operations of a transit agency.
Oversight	To validate the development of a defined system and verify compliance to a pre-defined set of standards.
Performance Measure	An expression based on a quantifiable indicator of performance or condition that is used to establish targets and to assess progress toward meeting the established targets.
Performance Target	A quantifiable level of performance or condition, expressed as a value for the measure, to be achieved within a time period required by the FTA.
Probability	An expression of often an event is expected to occur.
Process	A set of interrelated or interacting activities which transforms inputs into outputs.
Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan (PTASP)	A safety plan based on the Safety Management System approach. The FTA's PTASP Final Rule (49 CFR Part 673) requires States and certain operators of public transportation systems that receive Federal financial assistance under 49 USC Chapter 53 to develop and implement ASPs.
Public Transportation Safety Certification Training Program	The certification training program established either for Federal and State employees, or other designated personnel, who conduct safety audits and examinations of public transportation systems, and employees of public transportation agencies directly responsible for safety oversight, established through interim provisions in accordance with 49 U.S.C. 5329(c)(2), or the program authorized by 49 U.S.C. 5329(c)(1)
Qualitative Data	Subjective data that is expressed as a measure of quality; nominal data.

Quantitative Data	Objective data expressed as a quantity, number, or amount; allows for more rational analysis and substantiation of findings.
Requirement	An essential attribute or characteristic of a system. It is a condition or capability that must be met or passed by a system to satisfy a contract, standard, specification, or other formally imposed document or need.
Reportable Event	A safety or security event occurring on transit right-of-way or infrastructure, at a transit revenue facility, at a transit maintenance facility, during a transit related maintenance activity or involving a transit revenue vehicle that results in one or more of the following conditions, as defined in the National Transit Database Safety and Security Reporting Manual (2019): • A fatality confirmed within 30 days of the event • An injury requiring immediate medical attention away from the scene for one or more person(s) • Property damage equal to or exceeding \$25,000 • Collisions involving transit revenue vehicles that require towing away from the scene for a transit roadway vehicle or other non-transit roadway vehicle • An evacuation for life safety reasons
Risk	The composite of predicted severity and likelihood of the potential effect of a hazard in the worst credible system state. (1) Initial. The composite of the severity and likelihood of a hazard considering only verified controls and documented assumptions for a given system state. It describes the risk at the preliminary or beginning stage of a proposed change, program or assessment. (2) Residual. The risk that remains after all control techniques have been implemented or exhausted and all controls have been verified. Only verified controls can be used to assess residual risk.
Risk Acceptance	Agreement by the appropriate management official that he/she understands the safety risk associated with the change and he/she accepts that safety risk.
Safety	Freedom from unintentional harm.
Safety Assurance	Processes within a transit agency's Safety Management System that functions to ensure the implementation and effectiveness of safety risk mitigation, and to ensure that the transit agency meets or exceeds its safety objectives through the collection, analysis, and assessment of information.
Safety Culture	The product of individual and group values, attitudes, competencies, and patterns of behavior that determine commitment to, and the style and proficiency of, an organization's safety management. In addition, the four key components of a safety culture are reporting culture (encourage employees to divulge information about all hazards that they encounter), just culture (employees are held accountable for deliberate violations of the rules but are encouraged and rewarded for providing essential safety-related information), flexible culture to changing demands), and learning culture (willing to change based on safety indicators and hazards) uncovered through assessments, data, and incidents).
Safety Management Policy	A transit agency's documented commitment to safety, which defines the transit agency's safety objectives and the accountabilities and responsibilities of its employees in regard to safety.

Safety Management System (SMS)	The formal, top-down, organization-wide approach to managing safety risk and assuring the effectiveness of a transit agency's safety risk mitigation. SMS includes systematic procedures, practices, and policies for managing safety risks to the lowest acceptable level practicable.
Safety Promotion	A combination of training and communication of safety information to support SMS as applied to the transit agency's public transportation system.
Safety Requirement	A control written in requirements language.
Safety Risk Management (SRM)	A process within a transit agency's ASP for identifying hazards and analyzing, assessing, and mitigating safety risk. SRM is a formalized, proactive approach to system safety and applied to all changes to ensure all risks are identified and mitigated prior to the change being made. It provides a framework to ensure that once a change is made, it continues to be tracked throughout its lifecycle.
Serious Injury	Any injury which: (1) Requires hospitalization for more than 48 hours, commencing within 7 days from the date of the injury was received; (2) Results in a fracture of any bone (except simple fractures of fingers, toes, or noses); (3) Causes severe hemorrhages, nerve, muscle, or tendon damage; (4) Involves any internal organ; or (5) Involves second- or third-degree burns, or any burns affecting more than 5 percent of the body surface.
Severity	The measure of how bad the results of an event are predicted to be. Severity is determined by the most probable outcome.
Source (of a hazard)	Any potential origin of system failure, including equipment, operating environment, human factors, human-machine interface, procedures, and external services.
State Safety Oversight Agency (SSOA)	An agency established by a State that meets the requirements and performs the functions specified by 49 U.S.C. 5329(e) and the regulations set forth in 49 CFR part 674.
System	An integrated set of constituent pieces that are combined in an operational or support environment to accomplish a defined objective. These pieces include people, equipment, information, procedures, facilities, services, and other support services.
Transit Asset Management Plan	The strategic and systematic practice of procuring, operating, inspecting, maintaining, rehabilitating, and replacing transit capital assets to manage their performance, risks, and costs over their life cycles, for the purpose of providing safe, cost-effective, and reliable public transportation, as required by 49 U.S.C. 5326 and 49 CFR part 625
Validation	The process of proving that the right system is being built, i.e., that the system requirements are unambiguous, correct, complete, and verifiable.
Verification	The process that ensures that the system requirements have been met by the design solution and the system is ready to be used in the operational environment for which it is intended.

Appendix B – Acronyms and Abbreviations

ADA	Americans with Disabilities Act
CAP	Corrective Action Plan
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CUATS	Cleveland Urban Area Transit System (operated by SETHRA)
ETHRA	East Tennessee Human Resource Agency
FHA	Fault Hazard Analysis
FTA	Federal Transit Administration
FTHRA	First Tennessee Human Resource Agency
JHA	Job Hazard Analysis
JTA	Jackson Transit Authority
JTA	Johnson City Transit
KAT	Knoxville Area Transit
KATS	Kingsport Area Transit Services
Knox County CAC	Knox County Community Action Committee Transit
MPO	Metropolitan Planning Organization
OHA	Operational Hazard Assessment
OSHA	Occupational Safety and Health Administration
Participating Agencies	The Tennessee public transportation agencies covered by the joint PTASP
PHA	Preliminary Hazard Analysis
PM	Preventative Maintenance
PTASP	Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan
SETHRA	Southeast Tennessee Human Resource Agency
SMS	Safety Management Systems
SRM	Safety Risk Management
TAM	Transit Asset Management
TDOT	Tennessee Department of Transportation

Appendix C – Hazard Tracking Worksheet

Preliminary Hazard Analysis														
General Description			Hazard Cause / Effect			Risk Index			Corrective / Mitigation Action		Risk Index			
Reference	Overall System	Hazard	Potential Cause	Operational Effect	Safety Effect	Severity	Probability	Risk	Design Mitigation	Operational Mitigation	Final Risk Severity	Final Risk Probability	Final Risk Index	
Use a number to track hazard, ie Bus #1	BUS (or other system)	Trip and Fall on Bus	Wet Road	Delay of service	Injury to self and others	3	B	3B	Slip resistant flooring	Driver Training	3	C	3C	
			Hand Braking											
			Human error (e.g. loading / unloading vehicle)											

Participating Agency Addenda

These Participating Agency Addenda provide additional agency-specific information to supplement the joint ASP, including agency safety roles and responsibilities, safety training programs, safety reporting programs, and safety-related agency plans and procedures, as applicable. Each addendum applies only to the agency for which it is written and approved.

Addendum 1 – Clarksville Transit System

200 Legion St, Clarksville, TN 37040

ASP and Addendum 2 Approvals

Approved by: _____

Scott Audet
Safety Manager

Date

7/16/2021

Approved by: _____

Paul Nelson
General Manager/CEO (Accountable Executive)

Date

7/16/2021

Approved by: _____

NAME
(Board of Directors/City Council/City Commissioner)

Date

Concurrence: _____

NAME
Director of Operations

Date

Concurrence: _____

Chris Yarbrough
Director of Maintenance

Date

July 15, 2021

Concurrence: _____

NAME
Director of Training

Date

Concurrence: _____

NAME
TITLE

Date

Concurrence: _____

NAME
TITLE

Date

Concurrence: _____

NAME
TITLE

Date

Safety Roles and Responsibilities

The matrix below names the positions at Clarksville Transit System (CTS) responsible for the safety roles and responsibilities described in Section 3.2 of this ASP.

Clarksville Transit System Roles & Responsibility	Paul Nelson/ Director	Scott Audet/ Transportation Operations Supervisor	Chris Yarbrough/ Equipment & Facilities Maintenance Supervisor	Scott Graves/ Business Analyst	Troy Suggs/ Grounds & Facilities Maintenance Supervisor
Accountable Executive (AE)	A, O				
Chief Safety Officer (CSO) (SMS Implementation)	A, O	P			
Safety Management Policy	A, O	P		S	R
Safety Risk Management (Hazard ID/Mitigation)	A, O	P	S		
Safety Assurance (Audits/Inspections)	A, O	P	P		
Safety Promotion (Communication/Training)	A, O	P	P	S	S
Hazard Identification & Safety Risk Assessment	A, O	P	P	S	S
Safety Reporting & Follow-up	A, O, R	P, R		S	S
Safety Performance Targets & Measurement	A, O, P	S			
Accident Investigation	A, O	O	S		

KEY

A	Approval
O	Oversight
P	Primary
S	Secondary/Support
R	Review/Comment
N	Not Applicable/No Significant Role

Integration with Public Safety and Emergency Management

CTS participates in county wide table top emergency management drills and local first responder live action drills annually. CTS also attends all meetings of the Emergency Management Agency. CTS attends monthly meetings with the mayor, Clarksville Police and Fire, and other City divisions to discuss incidents and improvements.

Safety Performance Targets for FY2022

FY2021 Annual Vehicle Revenue Miles: 1,512,842

Number of Fatalities	Rate of Fatalities per 100K VRM	Number of Injuries	Rate of Injuries Per 100K VRM	Number of Safety Events	Rate of Safety Events Per 100 VRM	Total Major Mechanical Failures	Miles between Major Mechanical Failures
0	0	4	0.26	5	0.33	32	47,276

Hazard Identification and Tracking

Clarksville Transit accepts the hazard identification and tracking method established in the joint plan.

CTS also has a worksheet that all senior staff members fill out anytime an incident occurs. The incident is described in detail, and enables CTS to see if the contributing factors are employee related, environment related, or public related. The incident worksheet is reviewed during Safety Committee & Sub-Committee meetings, and any resolutions that come from said review are disseminated to CTS staff to create safer work practices.

As consistency begins to occur amongst incidents, the CTS Safety Committee will identify what risk mitigations are in place, and if they are still effective. If these mitigations are no longer effective, the CTS Safety Committee will work with different employee groups at CTS to decide what would be a better method of mitigation.

Safety Training Program

CTS requires training in the following safety-related areas:

- Driver training, including for new bus operators
- Safety training, recurrent and in response to specific problems
- General Emergency Plan, annual training

Employee Safety Reporting Program

Clarksville Transit has implemented a standard employee safety reporting form and anonymous collection drop box. The drop box will be checked once a week, and submissions will be investigated and dealt with accordingly. Behaviors submitted that will not be protected under this program, and may result in disciplinary action, are any behaviors that may result in injury, accidents, incidents, or any type of vehicle damage.

The CTS employee responsible for checking the drop box, as well as tracking and implementing all safety mitigations is the CTS Safety Manager.

Safety-Related Agency Documents

- Accident/Incident Reporting and Investigation – Management of the Public Safety and Security Plan
- Employee Safety Manual, 1994
- General Emergency Plan, revised May 2011
- Operations Personnel Handbook, June 2010
- Security and Emergency Preparedness Plan, August 2002
- System Safety Program Plan, May 2005

AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR, THROUGH THE CITY ATTORNEY OR HIS DESIGNEE, TO CONDUCT NEGOTIATION AND ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT FOR THE PURCHASE OF AN EASEMENT OR RIGHT OF WAY AND TO ACQUIRE PROPERTY FOR A PUBLIC PURPOSE FOR INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS FOR THE WHITFIELD ROAD IMPROVEMENT PROJECT AND TO CONVEY CITY OF CLARKSVILLE PROPERTY IN EXCHANGE THEREFORE

WHEREAS, the City of Clarksville finds that improvements to road and intersections within the City are vital to the transportation system and enhanced quality of life for City residents; and

WHEREAS, the Clarksville City Council finds it to be in the public interest to acquire easements and / or right of way rights for constructing improvements to Whitfield Road and Needmore Road; and

WHEREAS, Sonjia Mae McGlown, Fonda Malone and Riniski McGlown (hereinafter "McGlown") are the owners of certain real property, being approximately 205.94 feet and being located at 2704 Whitfield Road being a portion of Tax Map and Parcel 32P-C-61, more particularly described in **Exhibit A** and having an opined value at \$1,465.00; and

WHEREAS, the City of Clarksville is the owner of certain real property to the west of the McGlown property being located at 773 Needmore Road being Tax Map and Parcel 32P-C-60, and more particularly described in **Exhibit B** having acquired said property for the Whitfield Road and Needmore Road improvement project; and

WHEREAS, the City of Clarksville property located at 773 Needmore Road being Tax Map and Parcel 32P-C-60 which will remain after the roadway improvement project is complete will have no road access, cannot be adjoined to adjoining properties as one parcel due to zoning regulations and will have an opined market value of \$0.13 per square foot or \$1,509.00 total; and

WHEREAS, the City of Clarksville did acquire the 205.94 square feet parcel, more or less including a utility, construction and slope easement, located at 2704 Whitfield Road being a portion of Tax Map and Parcel 32P-C-60 as depicted in **Exhibit C** from Sonjia Mae McGlown, Fonda Malone and Riniski McGlown for the purpose of improving Whitfield and Needmore Road said conveyance being filed of record on April 5, 2021 in Official Record Book Volume 2078, Page 1658, Register Office for Montgomery County, Tennessee ; and

WHEREAS, to avoid maintenance costs on the City of Clarksville property remaining after the improvement project is completed the Clarksville City Council finds it to be in the public interest to convey the property remaining after roadway improvements and located at 773 Needmore Road being Tax Map and Parcel 32P-C-60 to Sonjia Mae McGlown, Fonda Malone and Riniski McGlown as payment for the acquisition of the 205.94 feet parcel and easement being located at 2704 Whitfield Road which conveyance has been agreed to by Sonjia Mae McGlown, Fonda Malone and Riniski McGlown and which conveyance shall become effective at the completion of the Whitfield Road project.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF CLARKSVILLE, TENNESSEE:

That the Mayor, in consideration for the purchase of property located at 2704 Whitfield Road being a portion of Tax Map and Parcel 32P-C-60 from Sonjia Mae McGlown, Fonda Malone and Riniski McGlown being 205.94 square feet, more or less including a utility, construction and slope easement, may quitclaim transfer and convey the City of Clarksville property remaining after the Whitfield Roadway Project improvement identified as a portion of Tax Map and Parcel 32P-C-61 and located at 773 Needmore Road to Sonjia Mae McGlown, Fonda Malone and Riniski McGlown as payment therefore which conveyance shall become effective at the completion of the Whitfield Road project.

FIRST READING:

SECOND READING:

EFFECTIVE DATE:

Tract A
Fee Simple

Being a Tract of land situated in the Sixth (6th) Civil District of Montgomery County, Tennessee, said Tract being 5.0 miles, more or less, northeast of downtown Clarksville and being generally located north of 101st Airborne Division Parkway, east of and adjacent to North Whitfield Road, south of Glen Ellen Way, and west of Ann Drive, said Tract being more particularly described as follows:


Beginning at a point 45.50 feet right of Whitfield Road at Station 124+86.30, said point being 0.03 miles, more or less, north of the intersection of Whitfield and Needmore Roads, as measured along the eastern North Whitfield Road right of way; thence along the existing North Whitfield Road right of way N 6°19'32" E a distance of 69.20 feet to a point 39.77 feet right of Whitfield Road at Station 125+54.26 said point being in the northern property line with Orlando Rudolph Reed, as recorded in O.R.V. 511, Page 2359, R.O.M.C.T.; thence along the northern property line with Orlando Rudolph Reed S 75°40'28" E a distance of 5.74 feet to a point 45.50 feet right of Whitfield Road at Station 125+53.87, said point being an iron pin (new); thence along the proposed North Whitfield Road right of way along an arc 68.64 feet to the right, having a radius of 2910.29 feet, the chord of which is S 11°04'32" W for a distance of 68.64 feet and the POINT OF BEGINNING.

Said Tract contains 0.00 acres (205.94 sq. ft.) more or less.

The above described property is hereby conveyed in fee simple. Property is subject to all easements, rights-of-way, covenants, and restrictions of record.

Property description is based on a physical survey by Billy Ray Suiter, PLS 1837, on March 2019.

All iron pins set are ½" x 18" rebar with plastic cap stamped "SUITER 1837".



Tract B
Permanent Slope Easement

Being a Tract of land situated in the Sixth (6th) Civil District of Montgomery County, Tennessee, said Tract being 5.0 miles, more or less, northeast of downtown Clarksville and being generally located north of 101st Airborne Division Parkway, east of and adjacent to North Whitfield Road, south of Glen Ellen Way, and west of Ann Drive, said Tract being more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point 45.50 feet right of Whitfield Road at Station 124+87.22, said point being 0.03 miles, more or less, north of the intersection of Whitfield and Needmore Roads as measured along the eastern North Whitfield Road right of way and 0.09 feet, more or less, east of the eastern North Whitfield Road right of way; thence along the proposed slope easement along an arc 67.71 feet to the left, having a radius of 2910.29 feet, the chord of which is N 11°03'59" E for a distance of 67.71 feet, to a point 45.50 feet right of Whitfield Road at Station 125+53.87, said point being in the northern property line with Orlando Rudolph Reed, as recorded in O.R.V. 511, Page 2359, R.O.M.C.T.; thence along the northern property line with Orlando Rudolph Reed S 75°40'28" E a distance of 2.02 feet to a point 47.52 feet right of Whitfield Road at Station 125+53.73; thence along the proposed slope easement for the next 4 courses as follows: S 9°35'49" W a distance of 3.80 feet to a point 47.57 feet right of Whitfield Road at Station 125+50.00; thence S 11°48'57" W a distance of 50.83 feet to a point 46.83 feet right of Whitfield Road at Station 125+00.00; thence S 12°16'48" W a distance of 12.83 feet to a point 46.68 feet right of Whitfield Road at Station 124+87.38; thence N 85°59'26" W a distance of 1.19 feet to a point 45.50 feet right of Whitfield Road at Station 124+87.22 and the POINT OF BEGINNING.

Said Tract contains 0.00 acres (106.69 sq. ft.) more or less.

By this instrument the Grantor(s) hereby grants a permanent easement for construction and maintenance of slopes outside the proposed right-of-way line. The land described above, on which the slopes are to be constructed, is to remain the property of the Grantor(s) and may be used for any purpose desired, provided such use does not interfere with the use of maintenance of said slopes.

Property description is based on a physical survey by Billy Ray Suiter, PLS 1837, on March 2019.

Tract C
Construction Easement

Being a Tract of land situated in the Sixth (6th) Civil District of Montgomery County, Tennessee, said Tract being 5.0 miles, more or less, northeast of downtown Clarksville and being generally located north of 101st Airborne Division Parkway, east of and adjacent to North Whitfield Road, south of Glen Ellen Way, and west of Ann Drive, said Tract being more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point 50.19 feet right of Whitfield Road at Station 124+41.47, said point being 0.02 miles, more or less, north of the intersection of Whitfield and Needmore Roads as measured along the eastern North Whitfield Road right of way and said point being located in the southern property line with Robert E. Lee, a married person, as recorded in O.R.V.736, Page 1753, R.O.M.C.T.; thence along the proposed construction easement for the next 6 courses as follows: N 6°19'32" E a distance of 34.57 feet to a point 46.59 feet right of Whitfield Road at Station 124+75.28; thence N 12°16'48" E a distance of 1.18 feet to a point 46.59 feet right of Whitfield Road at Station 124+76.44; thence S 85°59'26" E a distance of 10.11 feet to a point 56.60 feet right of Whitfield Road at Station 124+77.81; thence S 12°16'48" W a distance of 8.13 feet to a point 56.56 feet right of Whitfield Road at Station 124+69.84; thence S 78°40'12" E a distance of 5.65 feet to a point 62.21 feet right of Whitfield Road at Station 124+69.91; thence S 6°19'32" W a distance of 28.46 feet to a point 65.20 feet right of Whitfield Road at Station 124+42.22, said point being located in the southern property line with Robert E. Lee; thence along the southern property line with Robert E. Lee, N 80°17'18" W a distance of 15.03 feet to a point 50.19 feet right of Whitfield Road at Station 124+41.47 and the POINT OF BEGINNING.

Said Tract contains 0.01 acres (503.27 sq. ft.) more or less.

By this instrument the Grantor(s) hereby grants an easement for the construction of a working area and erosion control outside of the proposed right-of-way line. The title to the above described land remains vested in the Grantor(s), and is to be used by the City of Clarksville, its contractors or assigns for a period of three (3) years, from and after the commencement of construction.

Property description is based on a physical survey by Billy Ray Suiter, PLS 1837, on March 2019.

Tract D Construction Easement

Being a Tract of land situated in the Sixth (6th) Civil District of Montgomery County, Tennessee, said Tract being 5.0 miles, more or less, northeast of downtown Clarksville and being generally located north of 101st Airborne Division Parkway, east of and adjacent to North Whitfield Road, south of Glen Ellen Way, and west of Ann Drive, said Tract being more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point 46.68 feet right of Whitfield Road at Station 124+87.38, said point being located said point being 0.03 miles, more or less, north of the intersection of Whitfield and Needmore Roads as measured along the eastern North Whitfield Road right of way and 1.21 feet, more or less, east of the eastern North Whitfield Road right of way; thence along the proposed construction easement for the next 3 courses as follows: N 12°16'48" E a distance of 12.83 feet to a point 46.83 feet right of Whitfield Road at Station 125+00.00; thence N 11°48'57" E a distance of 50.83 feet to a point 47.57 feet right of Whitfield Road at Station 125+50.00; thence N 9°35'49" E a distance of 3.80 feet to a point

47.52 feet right of Whitfield Road at Station 125+53.73, said point being located in the northern property line with Orlando Rudolph Reed, as recorded in O.R.V. 511, Page 2359, R.O.M.C.T.; thence along the northern property line with Orlando Rudolph Reed S 75°40'28" E a distance of 10.03 feet to a point 57.53 feet right of Whitfield Road at Station 125+53.06; thence along the proposed construction easement for the next 4 courses as follows: S 9°35'49" W a distance of 3.16 feet to a point 57.58 feet right of Whitfield Road at Station 125+49.96; thence S 11°48'57" W a distance of 51.06 feet to a point 56.83 feet right of Whitfield Road at Station 124+99.90; thence S 12°16'48" W a distance of 11.42 feet to a point 56.69 feet right of Whitfield Road at Station 124+88.71; thence N 85°59'26" W a distance of 10.11 feet to a point 46.68 feet right of Whitfield Road at Station 124+87.38 and the POINT OF BEGINNING.

Said Tract contains 0.02 acres (665.48 sq. ft.) more or less.

By this instrument the Grantor(s) hereby grants an easement for the construction of a working area and erosion control outside of the proposed right-of-way line. The title to the above described land remains vested in the Grantor(s), and is to be used by the City of Clarksville, its contractors or assigns for a period of three (3) years, from and after the commencement of construction.

Property description is based on a physical survey by Billy Ray Suiter, PLS 1837, on March 2019.

Being part of the same property conveyed to Sonjia Mae McGlown, Fonda Malone and Riniski McGlown, of record in Deed Book Volume 1737, Page 2197, all of record in the Office of the Montgomery County Court Clerk.

Tract X

Excess

Beginning at a point 33.58 feet left of Needmore Rd at Station 301+70.71, said point being 0.01 mile, more or less, east of the intersection of Whitfield and Needmore Roads, as measured along the northern right of way of Needmore Road and 9.37 feet, more or less, north of the northern right of way of Needmore Road and said point being located in the eastern property line with Stokley Jenkins and Ronald Drake Jenkins, wife and husband, as recorded in O.R.V.1844, Page 1816, R.O.M.C.T.;thence along an arc 53.61 feet to the right, having a radius of 125.00 feet, the chord of which is N 63°24'34" W for a distance of 53.20 feet, to a point 47.23 feet left of Needmore Rd at Station 301+20.00; thence along an arc 69.85 feet to the right, having a radius of 125.00 feet, the chord of which is N35°06'55" W for a distance of 68.94 feet; thence along the eastern right of way line of North Whitfield Road N 1°14'48" E a distance of 70.93 feet to a point 164.89 feet left of Needmore Rd at Station 300+58.44, said point being located in the northern property line with Sonjia Mae McGlown, Fonda Malone and Riniski McGlown as recorded in O.R.V. 1737, Page 2197, R.O.M.C.T.; thence along the northern property line with Sonjia Mae McGlown, et al S 80°17'18" E 25.28 feet to a point 165.46 feet left of Needmore Rd at Station 300+83.71; thence S 80°17'18" E a distance of 99.20 feet to a point 168.60 feet left of Needmore Rd at Station 301+78.82, said point being located in the eastern property line with Stokley Jenkins and Ronald Drake Jenkins; thence along the eastern property line with Stokley Jenkins and Ronald Drake Jenkins S 15°52'37" W 110.27 feet to a point 58.54 feet left of Needmore Rd at Station 301+72.26; thence S 15°52'37" W a distance of 25.01 feet to a point 33.58 feet left of Needmore Rd at Station 301+70.71 and the POINT OF BEGINNING.

The above described parcel contains $\pm$ 0.29 acres (12,720.47 sq. ft.)

Property description is based on a physical survey by Billy Ray Suiter, PLS 1837, on March 2019.

THIS CONVEYANCE is subject to the following encumbrances as described as follows:

Tract B

Permanent Drainage Easement

Beginning at a point 33.58 feet left of Needmore Rd at Station 301+70.71, said point being 0.01 mile, more or less, east of the intersection of Whitfield and Needmore Roads, as measured along the northern right of way of Needmore Road and 9.37 feet, more or less, north of the northern right of way of Needmore Road and said point being located in the eastern property line with Stokley Jenkins and Ronald Drake Jenkins, wife and husband, as recorded in O.R.V.1844, Page 1816, R.O.M.C.T.; thence along the proposed permanent easement for the next 3 courses as follows: along an arc 53.61 feet to the right, having a radius of 125.00 feet, the chord of which is N 63°24'34" W for a distance of 53.20 feet, to a point 47.23 feet left of Needmore Rd at Station 301+20.00; thence S

88°56'14" E a distance of 15.50 feet to a point 50.00 feet left of Needmore Rd at Station 301+35.00; thence S 77°49'49" E a distance of 37.36 feet to a point 49.82 feet left of Needmore Rd at Station 301+71.72, said point being located in the eastern property line with Stokley Jenkins and Ronald Drake Jenkins; thence along the eastern property line with Stokley Jenkins and Ronald Drake Jenkins S 15°52'37" W a distance of 16.27 feet to a point 33.58 feet left of Needmore Rd at Station 301+70.71 and the POINT OF BEGINNING.

Tract C

Permanent Utility Easement


Beginning at a point 33.58 feet left of Needmore Rd at Station 301+70.71, said point being 0.01 mile, more or less, east of the intersection of Whitfield and Needmore Roads, as measured along the northern right of way of Needmore Road and 9.37 feet, more or less, north of the northern right of way of Needmore Road and said point being located in the eastern property line with Stokley Jenkins and Ronald Drake Jenkins, wife and husband, as recorded in O.R.V.1844, Page 1816, R.O.M.C.T.; thence along the proposed utility easement along an arc 123.46 feet to the right, having a radius of 125.00 feet, the chord of which is N 47°24'07" W for a distance of 118.50 feet, to a point 94.99 feet left of Needmore Rd at Station 300+70.47, said point being located in the eastern right of way line of North Whitfield Road; thence along the eastern right of way line of North Whitfield Road N 1°14'48" E a distance of 70.93 feet to a point 164.89 feet left of Needmore Rd at Station 300+58.44, said point being located in the northern property line with Sonjia Mae McGlown, Fonda Malone and Riniski McGlown as recorded in O.R.V. 1737, Page 2197, R.O.M.C.T.; thence along the northern property line with Sonjia Mae McGlown, et al S 80°17'18" E a distance of 25.28 feet to a point 165.46 feet left of Needmore Rd at Station 300+83.71; thence along the proposed utility easement for the next 2 courses as follows: S 1°14'48" W a distance of 62.46 feet to a point 103.91 feet left of Needmore Rd at Station 300+94.30; thence along an arc 95.21 feet to the left, having a radius of 100.00 feet, the chord of which is S 48°48'55" E for a distance of 91.65 feet, to a point 58.54 feet left of Needmore Rd at Station 301+72.26, said point being located in the eastern property line with Stokley Jenkins and Ronald Drake Jenkins; thence along the eastern property line with Stokley Jenkins and Ronald Drake Jenkins S 15°52'37" W a distance of 25.01 feet to a point 33.58 feet left of Needmore Rd at Station 301+70.71 and the POINT OF BEGINNING.

Tract D

Permanent Slope Easement

Beginning at a point 94.99 feet left of Needmore Rd at Station 300+70.47, said point being 64.75 feet, more or less, north of the intersection of Whitfield and Needmore Roads, as measured along the eastern right of way of North Whitfield Road; thence along the eastern right of way of North Whitfield Road N 1°14'48" E a distance of 60.12 feet to a point 154.24 feet left of Needmore Rd at Station 300+60.27; thence along the proposed

slope easement for the next 5 courses as follows: S 4°11'46" E a distance of 62.76 feet to a point 93.68 feet left of Needmore Rd at Station 300+76.74; thence S 26°04'20" E a distance of 32.12 feet to a point 68.05 feet left of Needmore Rd at Station 300+96.10; thence S 44°09'52" E a distance of 35.06 feet to a point 48.07 feet left of Needmore Rd at Station 301+24.63; thence N 88°56'14" W a distance of 4.79 feet to a point 47.23 feet left of Needmore Rd at Station 301+20.00; thence along an arc 69.85 feet to the right, having a radius of 125.00 feet, the chord of which is N 35°06'55" W for a distance of 68.94 feet and the POINT OF BEGINNING.



750 Old Hickory Blvd.
Building One, Suite 200
Brentwood, TN 37027
629.228.7500
www.hdrinc.com

SCALE:



DATE:

Jan. 2020

OWNER:

STREET DEPARTMENT - CLARKSVILLE, TENN.

PROJECT:

WHITFIELD ROAD (Tracy Lane to Glen) and NEEDMORE ROAD (Cornerstone Circle)

DRAWN BY:

ROBERT E. LEE

EXHIBIT

C